CONFORMED COPY

OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court

OCT 2 3 2009

1 2 3	DAVID J. LIBO, ESQ. (State Bar No. STEINER & LIBO, Professional Corpo 433 North Camden Drive, Suite 730 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Tel: (310) 273-7778 Fax: (310) 273-7679	ration By Lanelle M. Galind	o, Deputy			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	CASE ASSIGNED ALL PURPOSES	ore			
6		Judge Andrew G. Many	The second of th			
7		Dept. P. Div.	The financial and the second s			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES				
10	1000 DOV	e eve .				
11	1800 PCH PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION, a California) Case No. 40060892				
12	Nonprofit Mutual Benefit Corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR:				
13	Plaintiff,	(1) STRICT LIABILITY; (2) NEGLIGENCE;				
14		(3) BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY;				
15	vs.	(4) BREACH OF THIRD-PA BENEFICIARY CONTRA				
16	SEASIDE VILLAGE REDONDO BEACH LLC, a California Limited	(5) BREACH OF FIDUCIANDUTY; and				
17	Liability Company; BRAEMAR URBAN VENTURES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a	(6) FRAUD				
18	California Limited Partnership; REDEVCO 94, INC., a California					
19	Corporation; SHAWBETH, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 - 50, INCLUSIVE,					
20	Defendants					
21	Defendants)					
22)					
23						
24	Plaintiff, 1800 PCH PROPERTY (WNERS ASSOCIATION alleges	∶ ∶			
25	1. Plaintiff is, and at all	times mentioned herein wa	ls, a			
26	non-profit mutual benefit corporati	on organized and existing	J			
	under the laws of the State of California. Plaintiff exists to					
27	operate the condominium complex loc	ated at 1800 Pacific Coas	st			

28

1.0

1.3

2.7

- 2. In accordance with its Declaration of Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions ("CC&Rs"), Plaintiff has the sole right and duty to manage and repair the common area of the Project and the separate interests affected thereby and has all of the powers necessary to carry out its rights and obligations, including the right and duty to prosecute any action in regard to damage to the common area when such action is deemed by it to be necessary to enforce its rights or obligations, including the bringing of this action.
- 3. Plaintiff maintains this action as the real party in interest pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1368.3
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Seaside Village Redondo Beach LLC is, and all times mentioned was, a California Limited Liability Company doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California as a real estate builder and/or developer of mass produced residential units for sale to the general public.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Braemar Urban Ventures Limited Partnership is and all times mentioned was, a California limited partnership doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California as a real estate builder and/or developer of mass produced residential units for sale to the general public.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Redevco 94, Inc. is and all times mentioned was, a California corporation doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California as a real estate builder and/or

9 1.0

11 12

1.3 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

developer of mass produced residential units for sale to the general public.

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges 7. that defendant Shawbeth, Inc. is and all times mentioned was, a California corporation doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California as a real estate builder and/or developer of mass produced residential units for sale to the general public.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1-50, inclusive, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are fictitious names of defendants whose true names and capacities are, at this time, unknown to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all times herein mentioned, each Defendant sued herein as a DOE Defendant was the agent, servant and/or employee of his and/or her co-defendants and, in doing the things herein mentioned, was acting within the scope of his or her authority as such an agent, servant and employee and with the permission and consent of his or her co-defendants, and each of said fictitiously named Defendant is in some manner liable or responsible to the Plaintiff based on the facts hereinafter alleged and caused injuries and damages proximately thereby as hereinafter alleged.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Seaside Village Redondo Beach LLC, Braemar Urban Ventures Limited Partnership, Redevco 94, Inc., Shawbeth, Inc. and DOES 1-10, inclusive (the "Developer Defendants"), and each of them, were the owners,

sellers and initial grantors and participated in the development, construction and sale of the Project, its residential units and common areas. Plaintiff is unaware of the precise and exact nature of the relationship between the Developer Defendants and the part each played in the acquisition, design, planning, development, construction and sale of the Project but when the true and precise nature of their participation and relationship becomes known, this pleading will be amended to reflect the same or it will be established according to proof at the time of trial.

- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, DOES 11-30, inclusive (the "Subcontractor Defendants") and each of them, were subcontractors of the Developer Defendants. The Subcontractor Defendants provided labor and services in regard to the design, planning, development and construction of the Project. The Plaintiff is unaware of the precise and exact nature of the part each played in the design, planning, development and construction of the Project but when the true and precise nature of their participation and relationship becomes known, this pleading will be amended to reflect the same or it will be established according to proof at the time of trial.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Developer and Subcontractor Defendants were the designers, builders, developers, contractors, subcontractors, material men, suppliers, installers, architects, inspectors and engineers that designed, planned, developed and constructed the Project, in whole or in part.

7

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges 12. that the Developer Defendants established and incorporated Plaintiff, were the original members of the Board of Directors of Plaintiff and had sufficient votes or influence to control the actions and activities of Plaintiff at all relevant times herein.
- A variety of latent defects and deficiencies in the Project have been discovered by the Plaintiff within three years of the filing of the complaint in this action, including but not limited to:
 - Improper slope of courtyard paving;
 - Improper slope of softscape adjacent to dwellings; and,
 - Improper drainage of courtyards. c.
- Latent defects and deficiencies in the architectural, engineering, structural design and construction of the Project are continuing to be discovered. Plaintiff will amend this pleading as further defects are discovered or it will be established according to proof at the time of trial.
- As a result of the aforementioned defects and deficiencies, there is present and actual damage to all of the above systems and throughout the Project, subject to proof at trial. These damages are progressive and continuing.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Strict Liability against the Developer Defendants)

- Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth in full hereat.
 - Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon

alleges that as developers, sellers, builders, improvers, designers, manufacturers and/or mass producers of the Project and its component parts and systems, Developer Defendants knew that the residential units in the Project would be sold to, and used by members of the general public for purposes of residential dwelling units. These defendants knew or reasonably should have known that the persons who purchased these units would do so without inspection for the defects set forth herein.

- 18. As set forth above, defects at the Project have been discovered by Plaintiff. These defects were neither known nor apparent by reasonable inspection to Plaintiff prior to their discovery. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the Project may be additionally defective in ways and to extent not now known, but which will be inserted herein by way of amendment or will be established according to proof at trial.
- 19. Developer Defendants knew or had reason to know that Plaintiff would rely on the skill, judgment and expertise of each of the defendants in producing, constructing and/or converting the Project and that Plaintiff was ignorant of the defective nature of the Project.
- 20. The Developer Defendants, and each of them, as developers, owners, mass-producers, manufacturers of component parts and systems, builders, converters and sellers of the residential units in the Project are strictly liable and responsible to the Plaintiff for all damages suffered as a result of the defects alleged herein.
 - 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon

alleges that as a direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the Project, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount precisely unknown but within the jurisdictional limit of this Court in that the Plaintiff will be required to perform works of repair, restoration and construction to portions of the Project to prevent further damage and restore the portions thereof to their proper condition. The precise amount of damages will be established to proof at trial.

22. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that as a further and direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the Project, the interest of Plaintiff in the Project and the value thereof has been reduced and diminished in an amount presently unknown but will be established according to proof at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence Against the Developer and Subcontractor Defendants)

- 23. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth in full hereat.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Developer and Subcontractor Defendants, and each of them, whether developers, owners, contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, architects, inspectors, engineers, builders, improvers and/or sellers, performed work, labor, and/or services upon the Project, and each knew, or should have known, that if the Project was not properly or adequately designed, supervised and constructed, that Plaintiff would be substantially damaged thereby and that the Project would be defective and not of

1.4

1.5

 25. These defendants, and each of them, were under a duty to exercise ordinary and reasonable care as developers, owners, contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, architects, engineers, builders, improvers and/or sellers or otherwise to avoid reasonably foreseeable injury to Plaintiff and knew or should have known, with reasonable certainty, Plaintiff would suffer the monetary damages set forth herein if said defendants failed to perform their duty to cause the Project to be completed in a proper workmanlike manner and fashion.

- 26. These defendants, and each of them, failed and neglected to perform the work, labor, and/or services properly or adequately in that each of the said defendants negligently, carelessly and in an unworkmanlike manner performed the aforesaid work, labor and/or services such that the Project as described herein was constructed improperly, negligently, carelessly, and in an unworkmanlike manner.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing negligence, carelessness, unworkmanlike conduct, and the actions and/or omissions by these defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer damages in an amount unknown at this time, but within the jurisdiction of this Court. The precise amount of damages will be established according to proof at trial.
- 28. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of defendants, and each of them, the interests of the Plaintiff in the Project and the value thereof, have been reduced and diminished in an amount presently unknown, but which

will be established according to proof at trial.

2

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

28

(Breach of Implied Warranty Against the Developer Defendants)

- Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth in full hereat.
- Developer Defendants, at all times herein mentioned, were and are merchants and/or manufacturers with respect to the residential units and common areas in the Project and these defendants impliedly warranted to Plaintiff that the Project was of merchantable quality and erected in a reasonably workmanlike manner.
- Plaintiff is informed that portions of the Project were inadequately constructed, manufactured, developed, designed, supervised or otherwise improved so that the abovedescribed defective conditions exist and the Project is not of merchantable quality or erected in a reasonably workmanlike manner.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges 32. that the Project may be additionally defective in ways and to extents not precisely known but which will be inserted herein by way of amendment or will be established according to proof at trial.
- Plaintiff has performed everything on its part to be performed pursuant to said implied warranties and has timely notified the Developer Defendants, and each of them, that the Project, or portions thereof, was not of merchantable quality nor erected in a reasonably workmanlike manner and from time to

 time gave further notice of the particulars of such defective conditions. Notwithstanding such notices, Developer Defendants, and each of them, have declined and failed to acknowledge responsibility for same or otherwise caused the appropriate restoration and/or repairs to be made to the Project at their expense or to otherwise contribute to the costs of same.

- 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the defects described herein arose out of, or were attributable to and are directly and proximately caused by, the above-described latent deficiency in the design, specifications, planning, supervision, observation of construction, selection of building materials, construction, development and/or improvement of the Project and that prior to the time when it was discovered by the Plaintiff, same could not have been discovered by the exercise of reasonable diligence.
- 35. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that as a direct and proximately result of the defective condition of the Project, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount unknown, but within the jurisdictional limit of this Court in that the Plaintiff will be required to perform works of repair, restoration, and construction to portions of the Project to prevent further damage and restore the portions thereof to their proper condition. The precise amount of damages will be established according to proof at trial.
- 36. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that as a further and direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the Project, the interest of Plaintiff in the Project and the value thereof has been reduced and

diminished in an amount presently unknown but will be established according to proof at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Third Party Beneficiary Contract Against Subcontractor Defendants)

- 37. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth in full hereat.
- 38. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Subcontractor Defendants entered into written contracts with the Developer Defendants, and each of them, pursuant to which the Subcontractor Defendants agreed to perform services on the Project. The contracts were made for the benefit of the Plaintiff.
- 39. The Subcontractor Defendants breached the contracts in that they failed to perform their services in a workmanlike manner and in accordance with the plans and specifications for the Project and applicable building codes.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of these defendants, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount within the jurisdictional limit of this Court. The precise amount of damages will be established according to proof at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against the Developer Defendants)

- 41. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 15, as if set forth herein in full hereat.
- 42. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that one or more of the Developer Defendants and/or their

agents, representatives or employees were appointed as the initial members of the board of directors of Plaintiff and dominated, controlled or influenced the board. By virtue of the aforementioned positions, Developer Defendants owed duties to Plaintiff that included maintaining and repairing the Project, advising and informing Plaintiff and establishing adequate reserves. As board members, they also had an implicit duty to act in the best interests of Plaintiff.

- 43. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Developer Defendants, and each of them, breached their duties as board members by, among other things, failing and refusing to maintain and repair the Project, failing to inform Plaintiff of defects and damages, concealing material information about the condition of the Project, failing to set adequate reserves and by engaging in conduct contrary to the interests of Plaintiff.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of these duties by these defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer damages in an amount unknown at this time, but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court. The precise amount of damages will be established according to proof at trial.
- 45. In doing the wrongful acts alleged herein, these defendants, and each of them, acted willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff, thus entitling Plaintiff to recover exemplary and punitive damages against these defendants, and each of them, in an amount according to proof.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

2

3

(Fraud against the Developer Defendants)

4

The Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 15, as if set forth herein in f1117

5 6

7

8

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that one or more of the Developer Defendants and/or their agents, representatives or employees were appointed as the initial members of the board of directors of Plaintiff and

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges

9 10

dominated, controlled or influenced the board.

11 12

that the Developer Defendants and each of them, used their

13

position, influence and control as board members to conceal the

14

aforementioned latent defects and deficiencies and any damages

15

they caused from Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges 49.

that the Project was in good condition.

16 17

that the Developer Defendants and each of them, also used their

18

position to conceal the true condition of the Project from

that these defendants suppressed and concealed material facts

from Plaintiff by failing to disclose the latent defects and

of concern had been or would be repaired and by representing

deficiencies and damages, by representing that any and all items

19

Plaintiff. 50. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges 51. that the information concealed or suppressed and the

representations made by these defendants were all material facts

that Plaintiff would have wanted to know so as to take steps to protect their interests and mitigate the damage. However, because of these defendants' conduct, Plaintiff remained unaware of the problems and was unable to take such steps.

- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of these defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer damages in an amount unknown at this time, but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court. The precise amount of damages will be established according to proof at trial.
- 53. In doing the wrongful acts alleged herein, these defendants, and each of them, acted willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff, thus entitling Plaintiff to recover exemplary and punitive damages against these defendants, and each of them, in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the defendants, and each of them, as follows:

ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- For general and compensatory damages in an amount within the jurisdiction of this Court and according to proof;
 - Costs of suit incurred herein;
- 3. Reasonable attorneys' fees, to the extent permissible by law; and,
- 4. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

FIFTH AND SIXTH CAUSES OF ACTION

5. Punitive damages to be determined by the Court.

1	DATED: October	21, 2009		STEINER & LIBO Professional Corporation
2	ľ			
3			By:	David J. Libo, Esq.
4	1			Attorneys for Plaintiff
5				
6				
7				
8 9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				